Blocks of older, smaller, mixed-age buildings play a critical role in fostering robust local economies, inclusive neighborhoods, and sustainable cities. The Preservation Green Lab report, *Older, Smaller, Better,* leveraged the ideas of Jane Jacobs to show why preservation and building reuse matter for successful communities. The *Atlas of ReUrbanism* expands this research to 50 U.S. cities, demonstrating that **Character Counts.**

In **Salt Lake City**, compared to areas with large, new structures, character-rich **blocks of older, smaller, mixed-age buildings** contain...



More than twice the population density



84% more jobs in new businesses and 82% jobs in small businesses



More than three times as many women and minority-owned businesses

The building blocks for an inclusive, diverse, economically vibrant city, Salt Lake City's older, smaller buildings are irreplaceable assets. For more information about Salt Lake City's high-character areas, **please see reverse.**

■ The Atlas of ReUrbanism | A Tool for Discovery

Developed by the <u>Preservation Green Lab</u>, the Atlas of ReUrbanism is part of the National Trust for Historic Preservation's <u>ReUrbanism</u> initiative. Explore the buildings and blocks of Salt Lake City and other American cities further by visiting:



Built Character in Salt Lake City 111.1 mi² | Pop: 192,672 | 124th Most Populous U.S. City | Est. 1847 The Atlas of ReUrbanism uses the Character Score to classify building stock by age and size. Higher scoring areas in red represent blocks of older, smaller, mixed-aged buildings. Lower scoring areas in **blue** represent newer, larger, similar-aged development. High Score Low Score 5 Miles Blocks of older, smaller, Blocks of newer, larger, mixed-aged buildings similar-aged buildings

Building and Preservation Facts

Year Built By Period, Salt Lake City | 50-City Average

| Parcels/Buildings | Salt Lake City | 50-City Average | 25.9% 22.2% | | 30.2% 27.9% |
|---|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Total | 58,726 | 204,038 | 1920-1945 | | 1945-1967 (50+ Years) |
| Per Square Mile | 528 | 1,436 | | | (SOT fears) |
| Median Year Built | 1944 | 1952 | | 58,726 | |
| On Nat'l Register of Historic Places | 29.6% | 6.8% | 24.3% 15.7% | Buildings | |
| Locally Designated | 8.7% | 4.3% | Pre-1920 | | 19.6% 34.2% |
| Historic Tax Credit Projects | 42 | 27.5 | P16-1920 V | | 1967-2017 |

High v. Low Character Score

Use the table below to compare high- and lowcharacter score areas in terms of their density and diversity, inclusiveness, and economic vitality.







Density & Diversity

| Avg. Population/Grid Square [†] | 65.1 | 23.4 |
|--|-------|-------|
| Median Age (Years)† | 33.0 | 36.0 |
| Avg. Between Age 18- 34 [†] | 35.3% | 27.9% |
| Avg. Foreign Born ^{††} | 15.0% | 19.2% |
| Avg. New to County ^{††} | 7.4% | 7.1% |
| Avg. People of Color† | 28.9% | 33.0% |
| Avg. Housing Units/Grid Square [†] | 29.6 | 9.6 |
| Avg. Vacant Homes† | 7.5% | 6.2% |
| Avg. Owned Homes [†] | 53.9% | 62.8% |



Inclusiveness

| Total Women and Minority-Owned Businesses ^{†††} | 631 | 218 |
|--|-------|-------|
| Avg. Affordable Rental Housing Units† | 78.2% | 77.9% |



Economic Vitality

| Total Jobs in Small Businesses ^{††††} | 12,786 | 7,038 |
|--|--------|--------|
| Total Jobs in New Businesses ^{††††} | 2,319 | 1,257 |
| Total Jobs in Creative Industries ^{††††} | 12,238 | 11,503 |
| | | |

[†]Data from the 2010 Census

^{††}Data from the 2010-2014 5-Year Estimates of the American Community Survey

^{†††}Data from Dun & Bradstreet, 2016.

^{††††}Data from LEHD LODES, 2014